

Artificial Intelligence ("AI") Digital Communication Report Generated by www.isaidusaid.com (ISaidUSaid Pty Ltd)

- 1. This report was autonomously generated on 07 August 2024, for matter FCC188/24 listed in the Federal Circuit and Family Court of Australia of Brisbane, QLD, AUS, by the following Artificial Intelligences:
 - a. Chat Generative Pre-training Transformer version 4 from OpenAI ("ChatGPT"). ChatGPT generates short summaries communication and identifies messages containing a range of subtle and meaningful communication patterns.
 - b. Language Model for Dialog Applications version 2 from Google ("LaMDA"). LaMDA identifies hostile elements of communication that could be perceived as offensive, abusive or domestically violent.
- 2. The following digital interactions were analysed from between 09 April 2024 and 01 December 2024:
 - a. 43 email messages.
 - b. 1 video/audio recordings.
 - c. 0 instant messages (e.g. WhatsApp, iMessage)
 - d. 0 messages sent via parenting applications (Our Family Wizard, Talking Parents, CoParent Coordinator)
- 3. Communication was analysed for the following negative interaction styles:
 - a. Parental criticism: Specifically criticising the other person for their parenting decisions and/or parenting skills.
 - b. Inflammatory language: An attempt to inflame the situation or escalate tension.
 - c. Toxic language: Communication that is toxic in nature.
 - d. Identity attacks: Insults based on identity such as race, gender or sexual preference.
 - e. Sexual harassment: Unwanted and un-welcomed sexual advances or overtly sexual language.

- f. Admission of guilt: An apology or admission regarding a previous domestic violence offence.
- g. Coercive control: An attempt to force the other person to do an action they do not want to.
- h. Official mediation refusal: A rejection or refusal of a request to attend mediation.
- i. Coerce self-harm: Attempts to coerce the other party to engage in acts of self-harm.
- j. Court Order breach: Communication that breaches an uploaded court order (you need to have uploaded your orders before running this).
- k. Profanity: Swearing, cursing or other profanity.
- I. Unfounded abuse allegations: Alleging that the other person has harassed, abused or committed a crime against you without evidence or a prior finding of guilt.
- m. Legal threats: Specific threats of civil or criminal legal action or involving law enforcement if a requested action or behaviour is not performed.
- n. Insults: Insulting communication.
- o. Body shaming: Insulting the other person based on physical characteristics.
- p. Very toxic language: Communication that is severely toxic in nature.
- q. Financial abuse: An attempt to use money, financial cost or to restrict access to lawfully available funds in order to coerce someone.
- r. Threats: Threatening physical harm.
- s. Gas-lighting: An attempt to convince the other party that they suffer from a mental or psychiatric illness or to specifically state they suffer from a mental or psychiatric illness.
- t. Bullying: Attempts to bully the other person.
- 4. Communication was also analysed for the following positive interaction styles:
 - a. Requests for mediation: Requests that the other party attend mediation.
 - b. Respectful language: The communication is formatted incredibly politely and respectfully in a way that seems purposeful by the sender.
 - c. Compliments: Appropriately and politely complimenting the other party.
 - d. Deescalation: Active attempts to deescalate conflict.
 - e. Proactive co-parenting: Active and polite attempts to co-parent.

Analysis

- 5. The analysed interactions reveal a complex and evolving pattern of communication between Joe and Jill, centered around their co-parenting challenges, particularly regarding their son Johnny. Initially characterized by high levels of hostility, personal attacks, and confrontational dialogue, both parties often resort to insults and threats over parenting issues and visitation rights. However, as the conversations progress, there are signs of a shift towards recognizing the need for more constructive communication. Moments of vulnerability and discussions about mediation suggest an underlying desire to address their conflicts collaboratively for their child's well-being. Although tensions remain prevalent, both individuals show glimpses of acknowledging their struggles and expressing a willingness to improve their co-parenting dynamics, indicating a potential trajectory towards more effective communication and conflict resolution over time.
- 6. The following positive communication styles were identified:

| Communication type | Joe Blogs | Jill Blogs |
|------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Requests for mediation | 1 | 0 |
| Respectful language | 9 | 9 |
| Compliments | 1 | 0 |
| Deescalation | 3 | 0 |
| Proactive co-parenting | 3 | 2 |


7. The following negative communication styles were identified:

| Communication type | Joe Blogs | Jill Blogs |
|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Instigated the conflict | 2 | 0 |
| Parental criticism | 3 | 0 |
| Inflammatory language | 4 | 2 |
| Toxic language | 6 | 2 |
| Identity attacks | 1 | 0 |
| Sexual harassment | 1 | 0 |
| Admission of guilt | 0 | 0 |
| Coercive control | 4 | 3 |
| Official mediation refusal | 0 | 2 |
| Coerce self-harm | 0 | 0 |
| Court Order breach | 1 | 0 |
| Profanity | 3 | 0 |
| Unfounded abuse allegations | 0 | 0 |
| Legal threats | 0 | 3 |
| Insults | 4 | 2 |
| Body shaming | 0 | 1 |
| Very toxic language | 0 | 0 |
| Financial abuse | 0 | 2 |
| Threats | 1 | 0 |
| Gas-lighting | 0 | 0 |
| Bullying | 1 | 1 |


8. 43% of messages sent by Joe Blogs contained some level of negative communication. 39% of messages sent by Joe Blogs contained overtly positive communication.

Negative messages Negative Total Positive messages Total

9. 45% of messages received from Jill Blogs contained some level of negative communication. 45% of messages received from Jill Blogs contained overtly positive communication.



- 10. 100% of negative interactioms were instigated by Joe Blogs.
- 11.0% of negative interactioms were instigated by Jill Blogs.




11. The document presents a series of messages sent over time, primarily between Joe and Jill, showcasing a significant evolution in tone. Initially, the correspondence is marked by hostility, aggression, and abusive language, with Joe using derogatory terms and exhibiting highly negative emotions regarding parenting issues and personal grievances. As time progresses, there is a noticeable shift towards a more constructive and cooperative communication style, highlighted by Joe expressing concerns about their parenting, acknowledging shared responsibilities, and suggesting mediation to resolve differences. This indicates an overall improvement in the tone of the messages, evolving from aggressive outbursts to a focus on healthier dialogue.



12. The document details a series of messages exchanged over time between Jill and Joe, showcasing a notable shift in tone. Initially, the correspondence exhibits a highly confrontational and aggressive tone, with Jill making threats and insulting comments, which suggests deep animosity. However, as time progresses, the tone becomes progressively more conciliatory and polite. By the later messages, Jill expresses a desire to work collaboratively for the benefit of their child, indicating a move towards a healthier dialogue. This evolution suggests a gradual improvement in the tone of communication, transitioning from hostile and abusive to more constructive and respectful interactions.



13. The attached documents reveal a series of messages primarily between Joe and Jill, focusing on their conflict regarding Johnny's behavior. Joe emerges as the primary instigator, with his messages characterized by progressively more aggressive and negative language, indicating a decline in the tone of the correspondence. His initial message, while frustrated, escalates to using expletives and demanding action from Jill, highlighting a pattern of increasing toxicity and frequency in his instigations. Although Jill's responses are not extensively documented, the available correspondence suggests that Joe's tone is worsening overall. Thus, Joe is the more frequent and aggressive instigator in this exchange, while the escalation of conflict appears to be increasing rather than decreasing.



Overview

- 14. List of Video recordings with Jill Blogs
- 15. The video depicts a tense interaction between two individuals, Joe Blogs and Jill Blogs, culminating in a violent confrontation. Jill appears distressed and confrontational, while Joe exhibits aggressive behavior, leading to physical violence.
- 16. The communication style is marked by escalating tension, emotional distress, and ultimately aggression.
- 17. Jill Blogs: Jill shows signs of distress and frustration, initially attempting to engage in conversation but ultimately becoming a victim of Joe's aggression.
- 18. Joe Blogs: Joe displays a confrontational demeanor, characterized by aggressive body language and facial expressions. His actions escalate from verbal confrontation to physical violence.

19. Evidence

a. Joe Blogs: Joe's aggressive posture and movement towards Jill indicate a significant escalation in the conflict, leading to physical violence.



b. Joe Blogs: Joe's actions in these frames depict him physically attacking Jill, marking a critical moment of violence in the interaction.



c. Jill Blogs: Jill's expressions of fear and distress highlight the severity of the situation as she becomes a victim of Joe's aggression.



20. List of Email conversations with Jill Blogs

- 21. The interaction depicts a heated dispute between two individuals, Joe and Jill, concerning their son Johnny's behavior and their co-parenting relationship. Joe expresses frustration about Johnny's conduct as well as his discontent with Jill's handling of parenting matters, leading to escalating insults and blame between them. As the exchange progresses, both parties hurl personal attacks and make threats, including challenges concerning visitation rights and financial support. Despite the tension, there are moments where they acknowledge the need to address their communication issues and consider mediation to improve their co-parenting dynamics, reflecting a complex mix of conflict and a desire for resolution.
- 22. The interaction displays a tumultuous communication style characterized by escalating conflict and emotional volatility. Initially, both parties express frustration and dissatisfaction with each other, leading to personal attacks and derogatory remarks. As tensions rise, discussions shift from specific grievances regarding parenting to threats and ultimatums, with one party suggesting legal action and the other threatening to undermine the other's reputation. However, amid this discord, there are moments where both parties attempt to redirect the focus towards collaboration for their child's well-being, indicating an underlying desire for resolution despite their adversarial exchanges. The overall pattern reveals a struggle between hostility and an effort to maintain a functional co-parenting relationship.
- 23. joe@isaidusaid.com: Throughout the interaction, Joe's communication style is confrontational and aggressive, particularly in the initial exchanges, where he resorts to personal insults and threats regarding parenting and the well-being of their child, Johnny. His tone reflects frustration and a defensive posture, often leading to escalated conflict with Jill. However, over time, there is a noticeable shift in his approach. By the later phases of the conversation, Joe starts to express his concerns more constructively and suggests collaborative solutions, indicating a potential desire to mend their communication and coparenting dynamic. This evolution suggests a struggle between hostility and the imperfect effort to focus on their child's best interests, revealing the complexities of their relationship.

24. jill@isaidusaid.com: Throughout the interaction, Jill exhibits a communication style that transitions from confrontational to collaboration-oriented. Initially, her responses are reactive and defensive, often responding to Joe's criticisms with equally harsh retorts, implying frustration and a need to assert her position. As the dialogue progresses, however, Jill begins to shift towards a more constructive tone, emphasizing the importance of better communication and expressing a willingness to collaborate on solutions that are in the best interest of their child, Johnny. This evolution reflects her growing acknowledgment of the need to address not just their personal grievances but also the practical impacts of their conflicts on parenting, suggesting a move towards a more cooperative co-parenting dynamic.

25. Examples (Positive Communication)

a. Deescalation

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, I think it would be helpful for us to attend mediation sessions to resolve our differences. Joe

b. Proactive co-parenting

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, I think it would be helpful for us to attend mediation sessions to resolve our differences. Joe

jill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, I think we should also discuss some adjustments to Johnny's visitation. Jill CO

c. Compliments

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, I take it back, You're such an amazing parent, Johnny is lucky to have you. Joe CG

d. Requests for mediation

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, I think it would be helpful for us to attend mediation sessions to resolve our differences. Joe

e. Respectful language

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, I guess I just don't understand how I'm supposed to talk to Johnny about your new sexuality and coming out as a lesbian, I'd appreciate your help. Joe IA

jill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, I'm uncomfortable with some aspects of our recent conversations. Jill AA

26. Examples (Negative Communication)

a. Identity attacks

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, If your black ass had grown up in a proper family dynamic maybe you would understand what a loving father is? Joe IAB

b. Parental criticism

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, Johnny's behavior with respect to his lunch eating has been really damn frustrating lately. Joe P

c. Toxic language

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, If your black ass had grown up in a proper family dynamic maybe you would understand what a loving father is? Joe IAB

jill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, You're so fat and lazy, no wonder Johnny doesn't respect you. Jill BSB

d. Legal threats

jill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, If you don't start treating me better I'll stop paying child support. Jill FAB

e. Official mediation refusal

jill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, I'm not sure if mediation is the right path for us at this time. Jill MR

f. Court Order breach

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, You're such a pathetic loser, no wonder Johnny doesn't want to spend time with you. Joe IB

g. Threats

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, Maybe you should just disappear, the world would be better off without you. Joe

h. Profanity

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, Johnny's behavior has been really fucking out of line lately. Please do something about it. Joe PB

i. Sexual harassment

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, Well, how about you come suck my dick for more time with Johnny? Joe SHB

j. Coercive control

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, Well, how about you come suck my dick for more time with Johnny? Joe SHB

jill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, I'll tell everyone you've been abusing Johnny if you don't do what I want. Jill AAB

k. Body shaming

iill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, You're so fat and lazy, no wonder Johnny doesn't respect you. Jill BSB

I. Bullying

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, Maybe you should just disappear, the world would be better off without you. Joe

jill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, You're so fat and lazy, no wonder Johnny doesn't respect you. Jill BSB

m. Inflammatory language

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, You're such a pathetic loser, no wonder Johnny doesn't want to spend time with you. Joe IB

jill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, You're a worthless excuse for a parent, and Johnny would be better off without you. Jill VTxB

n. Insults

joe@isaidusaid.com to jill@isaidusaid.com

Jill, I'll make sure everyone knows what a terrible parent you are. Joe

jill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, You're a worthless excuse for a parent, and Johnny would be better off without you. Jill VTxB

o. Financial abuse

jill@isaidusaid.com to joe@isaidusaid.com

Joe, Yes, and I'm concerned about the financial strain our disagreements are causing Jill FA

--End of Report--